

Providing Quality Services - Building Valued Partnerships October 25, 2011

Received & Inspected
NOV 0 1 2011
FCC Mail Room

Letter of Appeal Marlene H Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission Office of Secretary 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: Docket Number: CC Docket No. 02-06/CC Docket No. 96-45

Request for Review

This letter is an appeal of the USAC's Funding Commitment Decision Letter for Funding Year 2011 for Northwest Ohio Educational Service Center. In accordance with the appeal process, I am including the following information:

Appellant Name: Darren Jenkins

Applicant Name: Northwest Ohio Educational Service Center

Applicant BEN: 129303

Service Provider Name: Northern Buckeye Educational Council

SPIN: 143007175

Form 471 Application Number: 789351

Funding Commitment Decision Letter: Funding Year 2011

Exact text or the decision that you are appealing: "\$26,812.14 - FRN approved as submitted"

It is important to note that Northwest Ohio Educational Service Center has experienced two staff changes during the E-Rate application process for Funding Year 2011. The staff member that completed Forms 470 and 471, was no longer working on the E-Rate project when the Form 471 Receipt Acknowledgement Letter and Funding Commitment Decision Letter arrived. We feel the transition of staff, played a role into not discovering the clerical mistake earlier in the process. The following is a narrative of what transpired.

On Tuesday, September 27, 2011, I received an email message form our Internet Service Provider asking if we submitted a separate Form 471 for VoIP services since our funding amount was less. After further investigation, it appears that the Basic Conduit Internet Access/VoIP fees were entered incorrectly on one Form 471. The amount listed in the "Pre-Discount Amount" field only reflects the E-Rate eligible fees for the basic conduit Internet access (\$30,126.00) AND VoIP E-Rate eligible fees were erroneously excluded (\$12,410.00). Our Internet Service Provider provided us with two Item 21 Attachments; one for basic conduit

• Page 2 October 7, 2011

Internet access and one for VoIP. Northwest Ohio Service Center combined the service descriptions onto one Item 21 Attachment; however, neglected to include the VoIP fee. Our Internet Service Provider placed a call to USAC on our behalf (Case Number 22-275661) and we were encouraged to file an appeal.

Below is a screen shot of our online Item 21 Attachment that mentions BOTH basic conduit Internet access AND VolP services:



The above section of the application Item 21 Attachment narration clearly indicated Internet access and VoIP Services, but a ministerial error occurred in that only the amount for internet access was put in the cost field. We have had staff changes in our technology coordinator and clerical staff that enters the information.

After thoughtful consideration, I hope you will reconsider your Funding Commitment Decision and include the fees for BOTH approved services (basic conduit Internet access=\$30,126 and VoIP=\$12,410.) We feel this was clearly a clerical error.

Sincerely,

Darren Jenkins, Superintendent

Northwest Ohio Educational Service Center